## BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

## Original Application No. 432 of 2015

## Ashwini Kumar Vs. Union of India & Ors.

CORAM: HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE JAWAD RAHIM, JUDICIAL MEMBER

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAGHUVENDRA S. RATHORE, JUDICIAL MEMBER

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.P. WANGDI, JUDICIAL MEMBER HON'BLE DR. SATYAWAN SINGH GARBYAL, EXPERT MEMBER

Present: Applicant:

Mr. M. C. Chandrapati Rao, applicant in person

Respondents: Mr. Pragyan Pradip Sharma and Ms Anandini Kumari advs.

for State of Mizoram and PCB

Mr. G. M. Kawoosa Adv. for State of J&K and PCB

Respondent No. 10 & 38: Mr. Anil Grover, AAG alongwith Mr. Rahul Khurana Adv.

Mr. R. Rakesh Sharma, Adv. and Mr. V. Mowli, Adv. for State

of TN & TNPCB

MR. Daniel Stone Lyndoh, Adv.

Mr. Shuvodeep Roy, Mr. Vinayak Gupta advs.

Mr. Ajay Marwah, Adv.

Dr. Abhishek Attrey and Mr. Harshit Kaushik, advs.

Mr. Edward Belho, AAG, Mr. K. Luikang Michal,

and Ms. Hoineithiam, Advs. for State of

Nagaland

Mr. Jogy Scaria and Mr. Miranda Soloman, Adv. for Kerala Pollution Control Board

Mr. Parth Bhatt and Mr. Tushar Mehta, Advs. for State of Gujarat

Mr. Abhinav Mukerji AAAg and Ms. Purnima and Mr. Bihu Sharma, advs

Mr. Anil Grover AAG with Mr. Rahul Khurana and Mr. Mishal Vij, Advs.

Mr. Nishe Rajen Shonker and Ms. Anu K. Roy, Mr. Alim Anvar Advs. State of Kerala

Ms. Aruna Mathur, Mr. Avneesh Arputham and Mr. Anuradha Arputham, Ms. Simran Jeet, Advs. for State of Sikkim and PCB

Ms.Rajdipa Behura and Mr. Philomon Kani and Ms. Damini K. advs.

Mr. Anshuman Srivastava, adv

Mr. Kamaljeet Singh adv.

Ms. Priyanka Sinha Adv. for the State of Jharkhand.

Mr. Tarunvir Singh Khehar, Mr. Sandeep Mishra and Ms.

Guneet Khehar, Advs. for GNCTD

Ms. Shashi Juneja, Adv. for State of Chattisgarh

Mr. Shuvodeep Roy and Mr. Vinayak Gupta, Advs. for State of Assam

Ms.D. Bharathi Reddy and Ms. Vidyottma, advs

Mr. L. Roshmani Kh., Adv. for Manipur

Dr. Vijendra Mahudiyan and Ms. Pallavi Awasthi, Advs.

Mr. Bhanwar Pal Singh, Adv for state of UP

Dr. Abhishek Atrey, for Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change

Mr. Gopal Singh and Mr. Rituraj Biswas, Advs. for State of Tripura and PCB

Mr. Anil Shrivastava and Ms. Sujaya Bardhan, Mr. Rituraj Biswas Advs. for State of Arunachal Pradesh and PCB

 $Mr.\ V.K.\ Shukla$  along with Ms. Vijay Laxmi, Advs. for State of M.P.

Mr. Gautam Singh, adv.

Mr. Piyush Sachdev and Ms. Abhinandini Yadav, Mr. Raja Chaterjee Advs. for State of West Bengal and PCB

Mr. B.V. Niren and Mr. Vinayak Gupta, Advs. for

Ministry of Agricultural and NBA

Ms. Kamal Jeet Singh, AAG for State of Punjab

Mr. Gautam Singh and Mr. Shoeab Alam for State of Bihar

Mr. Naginder Benipal, Adv.

Mr. Devraj Ashok, adv

Dr. Vinendra Mahndiyan, advs. for State of UP

Mr. Guntur Prabhakar and Mr. Guntur Pramod Kumar and

Mr. Gautam Prabhakar Advs.

Ms. Priyanka Sinha, Adv

	Date and Remarks	Orders of the Tribunal
	Item No. 16	On behalf of Ministry of Agriculture it is submitted
	March 01, 2018	that in pursuance to the order of this Tribunal dated 01st
	A	February, 2018 a meeting was conducted and minutes of
		the proceedings as also the Affidavit is filed on $05^{\mathrm{th}}$
		February, 2018 served copy of the same on the Applicant.
		The Applicant who is present submits that copy of the
		Affidavit given to him shows it contempt the same
		statement as were made by the Respondent concerned in
		the earlier Affidavit. Thus, he submits no reply or
	4	rejoinder is necessary.
	0	We had perused the proceedings. We had in our
	11	order dated 01st February, 2018 indicated that Affidavit
A		should be filed incorporating the details of the decisions,
V	118	resolutions passed in the meeting for ascertainment of
		what were issued and finalized. Application filed by the
7	1/2	Ministry contains only the minutes without such material.
		The Applicant is justified in pointing out to the fact that
		what the Tribunal required Respondents to furnish
	-20	information has not been done.
		The main grievance of the Applicant is indigenous
		species of cows is adversely affected and protective action
		is necessary. He sought order against the destruction of
		that species which has reached an alarming position.
		In the circumstances pending consideration of the
		case on the merit, we direct impleading of the following
		Authorities for proper adjudication:-
		1. The State Pollution Control Board of each of the
		States who are impleaded in this case as the

	Item No. 16	2. The National Wildlife Welfare.
	March 01, 2018	Issue Notice to them.
		The Notice shall be served through the respective
	A	Secretary of Environment.
		Learned counsel appearing for the Punjab Pollution
		Control Board, Sikkim Pollution Control Board, Jammu &
		Kashmir Pollution Control Board, Madhya Pradesh
		Pollution Control Board, Tamil Nadu Pollution Control
		Board, Mizoram Pollution Control Board, Arunachal
	1	Pradesh Pollution Control Board, Tripura State Pollution
		Control Board, West Bengal Pollution Control Board and
	11	Kerala Pollution Control Board accept Notice and waive
	-11	service.
	111 >	Copy of the Application be furnished to them.
	ATIO	Replies be filed by them within two weeks.
		List this matter on 17 <sup>th</sup> April, 2018.
	13	3/12
		GA A A
	W	,,JM
	3	(Dr. Jawad Rahim)
		"JM
		(Raghuvendra S. Rathore)
		,JM
		(S.P. Wangdi)
		EM
		(Dr. Satyawan Singh Garbyal) 01.03.2018
		01.03.2018

Respondents.